

State of Ohio Public Works Commission

Application for Financial Assistance

IMPC	DRIANI: Please consult "Instructions for F	inancial Assistance for Capital Inf	astructure Projects" for gu	uidance in comple	etion of this form.
Applicant	District Number: 5 County:	Wood business hours and who can best answer or coo	ordinate the response to questions)	on Code: 173-6 Date: 09/05 Phone: (419) FAX:	/2019
Project	Project Name: West Millgrove Road Subdivision Type (Select one) 1. County 2. City 3. Township 4. Village 5. Water (6119 Water District)	Project Type (Select single largest component by \$) 1. Road 2. Bridge/Culvert 3. Water Supply 4. Wastewater 5. Solid Waste 6. Stormwater	Funding (Automatically populates for Total Project Cost: 1. Grant: 2. Loan: 3. Loan Assist Credit Enhare Funding Requested:	ance/ ncement:	44830 nary 258,600 .00 129,300 .00 129,300 .00 0 .00
D	istrict Recommendation	(To be completed by the District (Committee)		
(Se	Funding Type Requested elect one) State Capital Improvement Program	SCIP Loan - Rate:		Amount:	
	Local Transportation Improvement Program Revolving Loan Program	Grant:			.00.
	Small Government Program District SG Priority:	LTIP: Loan Assistance / Credit		Amount:	
Fo	or OPWC Use Only				
	STATUS	Grant Amount:		/pe: SCIF	RLP
Proje	ect Number:	Loan Amount: Total Funding:		onstruction End: aturity:	
Rele	ease Date:	Local Participation:	% Rate:	•	, 0
OPV	VC Approval:	OPWC Participation:	% Term:	Yr	S

1.0 Project Financial Information (All Costs Rounded to Nearest Dollar)1.1 Project Estimated Costs

Engineering Services				
Preliminary Design:	.00			
Final Design:	.00			
Construction Administration:	.00			
Total Engineering Services:	a.)	0	.00	0 %
Right of Way:	b.)		.00	
Construction:	c.)	246,300	.00	
Materials Purchased Directly:				
Permits, Advertising, Legal:	e.)		.00	
Construction Contingencies:	f.)	12,300	.00	5 %
Total Estimated Costs:	g.)	258,600	.00	
1.2 Project Financial Resources				
Local Resources				
Local In-Kind or Force Account:	a.)		.00	
Local Revenues:	b.)		.00	
Other Public Revenues:	c.)		.00	
ODOT / FHWA PID:	d.)		.00	
USDA Rural Development:	e.)		.00	
OEPA / OWDA:	f.)		.00	
CDBG: County Entitlement or Community Dev. "Formula" Department of Development			.00	
Other:	h.)		.00	
Subtotal Local Resources:	i.)	0	.00	0 %
OPWC Funds (Check all requested and enter Amount)				
Grant: 50 % of OPWC Funds	j.)	129,300	.00	
Loan: 50 % of OPWC Funds	k.)	129,300	.00	
Loan Assistance / Credit Enhancement:	l.)	0	.00	
Subtotal OPWC Funds:	m.)	258,600	.00	100 %
Total Financial Resources:	n.)	258,600	.00	100 %

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 2 of 6

1.3 Availability of Local Funds

Attach a statement signed by the <u>Chief Financial Officer</u> listed in section 5.2 certifying <u>all local resources</u> required for the project will be available on or before the earliest date listed in the Project Schedule section. The OPWC Agreement will not be released until the local resources are certified. Failure to meet local share may result in termination of the project. Applicant needs to provide written confirmation for funds coming from other funding sources.

2.0 Rep	pair / Replacement or New / Expa	ansion				
	2.1 Total Portion of Project Repair / Replace	ement:	258,	.00	100 %	A Farmian
	2.2 Total Portion of Project New / Expansion		0 .00	0 %	required for simpact to farm	
	2.3 Total Project:	ent.	258,	00.00	100 %	
3.0 Proj	ject Schedule					
	3.1 Engineering / Design / Right of Way	Begin Date:	01/01/2020	End Date:	05/01/2	2020
	3.2 Bid Advertisement and Award	Begin Date:_	05/15/2020	End Date:	07/03/2	2020
	3.3 Construction	Begin Date:_	07/24/2020	End Date:	10/30/2	2020
	Construction cannot begin prior to release of e	xecuted Project	t Agreement and	ssuance of N	otice to P	roceed.
	Failure to meet project schedule may resul Modification of dates must be requested ir Commission once the Project Agreement h	n writing by pro	ject official of re			
4.0 Pro	ject Information					
lf t	the project is multi-jurisdictional, information n	nust be consol	idated in this sec	ction.		
4.1 l	Jseful Life / Cost Estimate / Age	of Infrastru	ucture			
Pr	roject Useful Life:20 Years Age:	1990	(Year built or y	ear of last maj	jor improv	ement)
	Attach Registered Professional Engineer's project's useful life indicated above and det	statement, with ailed cost estir	n seal or stamp a mate.	and signature	e confirmi	ing the
4.2 l	Jser Information					
R	oad or Bridge: Current ADT <u>281</u>	Year 2019	Projected	ADT	Year	
W	/ater / Wastewater: Based on monthly usag	e of 4,500 gall	ons per househo	old; attach cu	rrent ordi	nances.
	Residential Water Rate	Current S	\$	Proposed \$	S	AAMINEPAGIPAGEAGE
	Number of households served:	•				
	Residential Wastewater Rate	Current S	\$	Proposed \$	S	
	Number of households served:	-				

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 3 of 6

Stormwater: Number of households served: ___

4.3 Project Description

A: SPECIFIC LOCATION (Supply a written location description that includes the project termini; a map does not replace this requirement.) 500 character limit. West Millgrove Road from Fostoria Road west 1.75 miles. The project is located 4 miles north of the City of Fostoria. B: PROJECT COMPONENTS (Describe the specific work to be completed; the engineer's estimate does not replace this requirement) 1,000 character limit. The West Millgrove Road project will resurface the existing pavement surface from Fostoria Road west 1.75 miles. The project includes 400 square yards of ODOT Item 254, Pavement Planing; 677 cubic yards of ODOT Item 441, Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course; 749 cubic yards of ODOT Item 441, Asphalt Concrete Surface Course; 342 cubic yards of ODOT Item 411. Stabilized Crushed Aggregate. This project has no impact to farmland. C: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS (Describe the physical dimensions of the existing facility and the proposed facility. Include length, width, quantity and sizes, mgd capacity, etc in detail.) 500 character limit. The total project length is 1.75 miles and West Millgrove Road is 19 feet wide.

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 4 of 6

5.0 Project Officials

Changes in Project Officials must be submitted in writing from an officer of record.

5.1 Chief Executive Officer	(Person au	uthorized in legislation to sign project agreements)
	Name:	Chad Wonderly
	Title:	Trustee
	Address:	1659 West Millgrove Road
	City:	Risingsun State: OH Zip: 43457
	Phone:	(419) 619-1082
	FAX:	
	E-Mail:	cwonderly36@gmail.com
5.2 Chief Financial Officer	(Can not a	also serve as CEO)
	Name:	Jane Stearns
	Title:	Fiscal Officer
	Address:	5742 Eagleville Road
	City:	Bloomdale State: OH Zip: 44817
	Phone:	(419) 348-8703
	FAX:	
	E-Mail:	jmkstearns@gmail.com
5.3 Project Manager		
	Name:	Chad Wonderly
	Title:	Trustee
	Address:	1659 West Millgrove Road
	City:	Risingsun State: OH Zip: 43457
	Phone:	(419) 619-1082
	FAX:	
	E-Mail:	cwonderly36@gmail.com

6.0 Attachments / Completeness review

Confirm in the boxes below that each item listed is attached (Check each box) A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated **|** official to sign and submit this application and execute contracts. This individual should sign under 7.0, Applicant Certification, below. A certification signed by the applicant's chief financial officer stating the amount of <u>all local share</u> 1 funds required for the project will be available on or before the dates listed in the Project Schedule section. If the application involves a request for loan (RLP or SCIP), a certification signed by the CFO which identifies a specific revenue source for repaying the loan also must be attached. Both certifications can be accomplished in the same letter. A registered professional engineer's detailed cost estimate and useful life statement, as required in 164-1-13, 164-1-14, and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code, Estimates shall contain an engineer's seal or stamp and signature. A cooperative agreement (if the project involves more than one subdivision or district) which identifies the fiscal and administrative responsibilities of each participant. Farmland Preservation Review - The Governor's Executive Order 98-IIV, "Ohio Farmland Protection Policy" requires the Commission to establish guidelines on how it will take protection of productive agricultural and grazing land into account in its funding decision making process, Please include a Farm Land Preservation statement for projects that have an impact on farmland. Capital Improvements Report. CIR Required by O.R.C. Chapter 164.06 on standard form, Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), accident reports, impact on school zones, and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project. Be sure to include supplements which may be required by your local District Public Works Integrating Committee.

7.0 Applicant Certification

The undersigned certifies: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission as identified in the attached legislation; (2) to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving Buy Ohio and prevailing wages.

Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement for this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding from the project.

Chad Wonderly, Trustee

Certifying Representative (Printed form, Type or Print Name and Title)

Original Signature / Date Stigned

Form OPWC0001 Rev. 12.15 Page 6 of 6

AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PERRY TOWNSHIP TO PREPARE AND SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND / OR LOAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM(S) AND TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS AS REQUIRED

WHEREAS, the State Capital Improvement Program and the Local Transportation Improvement Program both provide financial assistance to political subdivisions for capital improvements to public infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, the Perry Township is planning to make capital improvements to West Millgrove Road Improvements, and

WHEREAS, the infrastructure improvement herein above described is considered to be a priority need for the community and is a qualified project under the OPWC programs,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by Perry Township:

Section 1: The Chad Wonderly, Trustee is hereby authorized to apply to the OPWC for funds as described above.

Section 2: The Chad Wonderly, Trustee is authorized to enter into any agreements as may be necessary and appropriate for obtaining this financial assistance.

Passed: September 4, 2019

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION OF LOCAL FUNDS / LOAN REPAYMENT LETTER

September 4, 2019

I, Fiscal Officer of the Perry Township, hereby certify that Perry Township has the amount of \$150,000.000 in the Road and Bridge fund and that this amount will be used to pay the local share for the West Millgrove Road Improvements when it is required.

{NOTE: If the application is for a loan or grant / loan combination the following paragraph is also required.}

I, Fiscal Officer of the Perry Township, hereby certify that Perry Township has / will have / will collect the amount of \$150,000.00 in the Road and Bridge Fund and that this amount will be used to repay the Ohio Public Works Commission SCIP or RLP loan requested for the West Millgrove Road Improvement Project over a 15-year term.

Jane Stearns Fiscal Officer

Jame Steam

Estimate of Construction Cost

West Millgrove Road Resurfacing, Perry Township

UNIT PRICE CONTRACT

Ref. No.	Spec	Quantity	Unit	ITEM	Τ.	Init Date	 -
110.	Item No.	Quartity	Offic	ITEM		Jnit Price	Total
						:	
				PAVEMENT			. ".
1	254	400	Sq. Yd	Pavement Planing	\$	5.00	\$ 2,000.00
2	407	3121	Gal.	Non-Tracking Tack Coat	\$	2.00	\$ 6,242.00
3	441	677	Cu.Yd.	Asphalt Concrete Intermediate Course, Type-1 (PG64-22)	\$	140.00	\$ 94,780.00
4	441	749	Cu.Yd.	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, Type-1 (PG64-22)	\$	150.00	\$ 112,350.00
5	411	342	Cu.Yd.	Stabilized Crushed Aggregate	\$	50.00	\$ 17,100.00
							-
				Pavement Markings			
6	642	1.8	Mile	Center Line, Type 1	\$	750.00	\$ 1,312.50
				GENERAL			<u></u> -
7	614	1	Lump	Maintaining Traffic	\$	2,500.00	\$ 7,500.00
8	624	1	Lump	Mobilization	\$	5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00

The design useful life of this pholes is 20 years MUSTERIC & SUNING STORY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

Prepared By:

SUB TOTAL \$ 246,300.00

CONTINGENCY (5%) \$

12,300.00

GRAND TOTAL \$ 258,600.00

John M. Musteric, P.E., P.S.

Wood County Engineer

PERRY TOWNSHIP TRUSTEES

WEST MILLGROVE ROAD RESURFACING

PROJECT NARRATIVE

Perry Township is located in southeast Wood County and includes the incorporated Village of West Millgrove and the northwest portion of the City of Fostoria. The section of West Millgrove Road to be improved by this project is approximately 4 miles north of Fostoria and 2 miles east of the Village of West Millgrove.

West Millgrove Road is the main trucking route from the Gerken Materials quarry on the side of the village. Additionally, the east 800 feet of the project serves an electrical substation and is the access point for deliveries to Charter Steel. The township is looking to resurface this section to maintain the integrity of the pavement.

At this time, Perry Township is unable to finance a project of this magnitude without financial assistance. Recognizing the importance of this project to the residents of Perry Township, the Trustees are committing 50% of the cost of the project in local share and requesting 50% in grant dollars. The Perry Township Trustees are committed to providing the very best service to their residents with the limited resources available to them. This grant request certainly helps them meet this goal.











Average Hourly Volumes

	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	
	EAST BOUND	WEST BOUND	Combined
12:00 AM	2.5	1.5	4.0
1:00 AM	1.5	1.5	3.0
2:00 AM	1.0	3.5	4.5
3:00 AM	3.5	0.5	4.0
4:00 AM	3.0	6.5	9.5
5:00 AM	4.0	4.5	8.5
6:00 AM	7.5	11.0	18.5
7:00 AM	9.5	13.0	22.5
8:00 AM	8.0	7.5	15.5
9:00 AM	5.7	11.7	17.3
10:00 AM	5.7	10.0	15.7
11:00 AM	3.0	6.0	9.0
12:00 PM	5.0	8.0	13.0
1:00 PM	7.0	11.5	18.5
2:00 PM	6.5	10.0	16.5
3:00 PM	7.0	12.0	19.0
4:00 PM	14.5	7.5	22.0
5:00 PM	8.5	6.0	14.5
6:00 PM	5.5	4.5	10.0
7:00 PM	6.5	8.0	14.5
8:00 PM	3.5	4.0	7.5
9:00 PM	2.0	7.5	9.5
10:00 PM	0.0	0.0	0.0
11:00 PM	1.5	2.5	4.0
Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	122.3	158.7	281.0

Volume Totals

EAST	BOUND	WEST	BOUND	Combined	1
	269		361	63	0
	42.7 %		57.3 %		

DISTRICT 5 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS QUESTIONNAIRE

	\wedge	- 1	ROUND 34	
Name of Applicant:_	Perry	1 owashi	P	
Project Title: Wes	+ Millar	ove Road	Resurfacing	_
-	, ,			

The following questions are to be answered for each application submitted for State Issue II SCIP, LTIP and Loan Projects. Please provide specific information using the best documentation available to you. Justification of your responses to these questions will be required if your project is selected for funding, so please provide correct and accurate responses. Communities and Townships under 5,000 in population should also complete the Small Government Criteria.

1. What percentage of the project in repair A=100%, replacement B= __%, expansion C= __%, and new D= __%? (Use dollar amounts of project to figure percentages and make sure the total equals one hundred(100) percent) A+B=100% C+D= __%

Repair/Replacement = Repair or Replacement of public facilities owned by the government (any subdivision of the state).

New/Expansion = Replacement of privately owned wells, septic systems, private water or wastewater systems, etc.

2. Give the physical condition rating:

Closed or Not Operating:

The condition is unusable, dangerous and unsafe. The primary components have failed. The infrastructure is not functioning at all.

Critical:

The condition is causing or contributing to a serious non-compliance situation and is threatening the intended design level of service. The infrastructure is functioning at seriously diminished capacity. Imminent failure is anticipated within 18 months. Repair and/or replacement is required to eliminate the critical condition and meet current design standards. (For Road Projects structural repair items would represent a minimum of 25% of the total Project Cost).

Poor:

The condition is substandard and requires repair/replacement in order to return to the intended level of service and comply with current design standards. Infrastructure contains a major deficiency and is functioning at a diminished capacity.

Fair:

The condition is average, not good or poor. The infrastructure is still functioning as originally intended. Minor deficiencies exist requiring repair to continue to function as originally intended and/or to meet current design standards.

Good:

The condition is safe and suitable to purpose. Infrastructure is functioning as

originally intended, but requires minor repairs and/or upgrades to meet current design standards.

Excellent:

The condition is new, or requires no repair. Or, no supporting documentation has been submitted.

- * In order to receive points provide supporting documentation (e.g. photos, a narrative, maintenance history, or third party findings) to justifying the rating.
- 3. If the proposed project is not approved what category would best represent the impact on the general health and/or public safety?

ROADS

Extremely Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Major

Access Road.*

Critical: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Major Access Road.*

Major: Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction (4R) of a Minor

Access Road.*

Moderate: Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation (3R) of a Minor Access Road.*

Minimal: Preventative Maintenance of a Major Access Road.

No Impact: Preventative Maintenance of a Minor Access Road.

Projects that have a variety of work will be scored in the <u>LOWEST</u> category of work contained in the Construction Estimate.

Road/Street Classifications:

Major Access Road: Roads or streets that have a dual function of providing

access to adjacent properties and providing through or

connecting service between other roads.

Minor Access Road: Roads or streets that primarily provide access to adjacent

properties without through continuity, such as cul-de-sacs

or loop roads or streets.

Preventative Maintenance: Non Structural Pavement work such as chip sealing, cape

sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, etc.

*(3R) Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation - Improvements to existing roadways, which have as their main purpose, the restoration of the physical features (pavement, curb, guardrail, etc.) without altering the original design elements. (Surface and Intermediate layer Mill and Fills, overlays with less than or equal to 3" of additional pavement, ect...)

*(4R) Resurfacing, Restoration, Rehabilitation and Reconstruction - Much like 3R, except that 4R allows for the complete reconstruction of the roadway and alteration of certain design elements (i.e., lane widths, shoulder width, SSD, overlays with greater than 3" of additional pavement, etc.).

BRIDGES SUFFICIENCY RATING

Extremely Critical: 0-25, or a General Appraisal rating of 3 or less.

Critical: 27-50, or a General Appraisal rating of 4.

Major: 51-65 or a General Appraisal rating of 5 or 6.

Moderate: 66-80 or a General Appraisal rating of 7.

Minimal: 81-100 or a General Appraisal rating of more than 7.

No Impact: Bridge on a new roadway.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS

Extremely Critical: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) orders in the form of a consent decree,

findings and orders or court order. Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve effluent

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical: Improvements to meet Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Safe Drinking

Water Regulations and/or NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace deficient appurtenances. Update existing processes due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Increase capacity to meet current needs or update processes to improve water

quality.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

COMBINED SEWER SEPARATIONS (May be construction of either new storm or sanitary sewer as long as the result is two separate sewer systems.)

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical:

Separate, due to chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Major:

Separate, due to documented water quality impairment, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate:

Separate, due to specific development proposal within or upstream of the

combined system area.

Minimal:

Separate, to conform to current design standards.

No Impact:

No positive health effect.

STORM SEWERS

Extremely Critical:

EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Critical:

Chronic flooding (structure damage).

Major:

Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate:

Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or project needs.

CULVERTS

Extremely Critical:

Structurally deficient or functionally obsolete. Deterioration has already caused a

safety Critical: hazard to the public.

Critical:

Inadequate capacity with land damage and the existing or high probability of

property damage.

Major:

Inadequate capacity (land damage).

Moderate:

Inadequate capacity with no associated damage.

Minimal:

New/Expansion to meet current needs.

No Impact:

New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY SEWERS

Extremely Critical: EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or court order.

Health Department Construction Ban.

Critical: Replace, due to chronic pipe failure, chronic backup or flooding in basements.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: Replace, due to inadequate capacity or infiltration, or due to EPA

recommendations.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs or to reduce inflow and

infiltration.

Minimal: New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

SANITARY LIFT STATIONS AND FORCE MAINS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety/health hazard to

the public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with actual or a high probability of property damage.

Improvements ordered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in the

form of NPDES Orders.

Major: EPA recommendations, or, reduces a probable health and/or safety problem.

Moderate: Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.

Minimal: New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.

No Impact: New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.

WATER PUMP STATIONS

Extremely Critical: Structurally deficient. Deterioration has already caused a safety hazard to the

public, or, EPA orders in the form of a consent decree, findings and orders or

court order.

Critical: Inadequate capacity with the inability to maintain pressure required for fire flows.

Major: Replace due to inadequate capacity or EPA recommendations.

Moderate:	Rehabilitate to increase capacity to meet current needs.										
Minimal:	New/Expansion to meet a specific development proposal.										
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.										
WATER LINES	WATER TOWERS										
Extremely Critic	al: Solve low water pressure or excessive incidents of main breaks in project area.										
Critical:	Replace, due to deficiency such as excessive corrosion, etc.										
Major:	Replace undersized water lines as upgrading process.										
Moderate:	Increase capacity to meet current needs.										
Minimal:	New/Expansion project to meet a specific development proposal.										
No Impact:	New/Expansion to meet future or projected needs.										
OTHER											
Extremely Critic	al: There is a present health and/or safety threat.										
Critical:	The project will provide immediate health and/or safety benefit.										
Major:	The project will reduce a probable health and/or safety problem.										
Moderate:	The project will delay a health and/or safety problem.										
Minimal:	A possible future health and/or safety problem mitigation.										
No Impact:	No health and/or safety effect.										
NOTE: Combined projects that can be rated in more than one subset may be rated in the other category at the discretion of the District 5 Executive Committee. In general, the majority of the cost or scope of the project shall determine the category under which the project will be scored.											
(Submittals with	nout supporting documentation will receive 0 Points for this question.)										
Extremely Critic	cal Critical Major Moderate Minimal No Impact Explain										

(Additional narrative, charts and/or pictures should be attached to questionnaire)

your answer.

4.	Identify the amount of local funds that will be used on the project as a percentage of the total project cost. A.) Amount of Local Funds = \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	RATIO OF LOCAL FUNDS DIVIDED by TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A□B)= 50 %
	Note: Local funds should be considered funds derived from the applicant budget or loans funds to be
	paid back through local budget, assessments, rates or tax revenues collected by the applicant.
5.	Identify the amount of other funding sources to be used on the project, excluding State Issue II or LTIP
	Funds, as a percentage of the total project cost.
	Grants% Gifts%, Contributions%
	Other% (explain), Total,
	Note: Grant funds and other revenues not contributed or collected through taxes by the applicant
	should be considered other funds. The Scope of Work for each Funding Source must be the same.
6.	Total Amount of SCIP and Loan Funding Requested- An Applicant can request a grant per the categories below for points as indicated on the Priority Rating Sheet. If the Applicant is including a loan request equal to, but not exceeding 50% of the OPWC funding amounts listed below, there will be no point penalty. If loan funds requested are more than 50%, points as listed in the Priority Rating Sheet will apply.
	\$500,001 or More \$400,001-\$500,000 \$325,001-\$400,000 \$275,001-\$325,000 \$175,001-\$275,000 \$175,000 or Less
	There are times when the District spends all of the grant money and has loan money remaining. When this happens, the district makes a loan offer in the amount of the requested grant to the communities that were not funded. The offers are made in the order of scoring. We need to know if you are not successful in obtaining grant dollars for your project if you would be interested in loan money:
	YES NOX

If the proposed project is funded, will its completion directly result in the creation of permanent full-time

7.

equivalent (FTE) jobs (FTE jobs shall be defined as 35 hours/week)? Yes ___ No X_. If yes, how many jobs within eighteen months? __ Will the completed project retain jobs that would otherwise be permanently lost? Yes ___ No X_. If yes, how many jobs ___ will be created/retrained within 18 months following the completion of the improvements?

(Supporting documentation in the form of letter from affected industrial or commercial enterprises that specify full time equivlent jobs that will be retained or created directly by the installation or improvement of Public infrastructure. Additional items such as; 1) newspaper articles or other media news accounts, 2) public meeting minutes, and/or 3) a letter from the County Economic Development Director or State of Ohio Economic Development Professional that alludes to the requirement for the infrastructure improvement to support the business. Submittals without supporting documentation will receive 0 points for this question.)

- 8. What is the total number of existing users that will directly benefit from the proposed project if completed? **28** (Use households served, traffic counts, etc. and explain the basis by which you arrived at your number.)
- 9. Is subdivision's population less than 5,000 Yes X No ____

 If yes, continue. You may want to design your project per Small Government Project Evaluation

 Criteria, released for the current OPWC Round to assist in evaluating your project for potential Small

 Government Funding. The Small Government Criteria is available on the OPWC website at

 http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF If No, skip to Question 11.

10. OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION SMALL GOVERNMENT PROGRAM GUIDELINES

All projects that are sponsored by a subdivision with a population of 5,000 or less, and not earning enough points for District Funding from SCIP or LTIP Funds, are then rated using the Small Government Program Rating Criteria for the corresponding funding round. In order to be rated the entity must submit the Small Government Suppliment and their required budgets with their application.

Only infrastructure that is village- or township- owned is eligible for assistance. The following policies have been adopted by the Small Government Commission:

- •District Integrating Committees may submit up to seven (7) applications for consideration by the Commission. All 7 must be ranked, however, only the top five (5) will be scored. The remaining two (2) will be held as contingency projects should an application be withdrawn.
- •Grants are limited to \$500,000. Any assistance above that amount must be in the form of a loan.

- •Grants for new or expanded infrastructure cannot exceed 50% of the project estimate.
- The Commission may deny funding for water and sewer systems that are deemed to be more cost-effective if regionalized.
- •If a water or sewer project is determined to be affordable, the project will be offered a loan rather than a grant. Pay special attention to the Water & Wastewater Affordability Supplemental and the Small Government Water & Wastewater Affordability Calculation Worksheet. Both are available on the Small Government Program Tab at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/SmallGovernment.html
- •Should there be more projects that meet the "annual score" than there is funding, the tie breaker is those projects which scored highest under Health & Safety, with the second tie breaker being Condition. If multiple projects have equivalent Health & Safety and Condition scores they are arranged according to the amount of assistance from low to high. Once the funded projects are announced, "contingency protects" may be funded from project under-runs by continuing down the approved project list.
- Supplemental assistance is not provided to projects previously funded by the Commission.
- •Applicants have 30 days from receipt of application by OPWC without exception to provide additional documentation to make the application more competitive under the Small Government criteria. Applications will be scored after the 30-day period has expired. The applicants for each District's two (2) contingency projects will have the same 30-day period to submit supplemental information but these applications will not be scored unless necessary to do so. It is each applicant's responsibility for determining the need for supplemental material. The applicant will not be asked for or notified of missing information unless the Commission has changed the project type and it affects the documentation required. Important information may include, but is not limited to: age of infrastructure, traffic counts or utility users, median income information, user rates ordinances, and the Auditor's Certificate of Estimated Revenues or documentation from the Auditor of State that subdivision is in a state of fiscal emergency.

If you desire to have your Round 33 project considered for Small Government Funding please download the Small Government Evaluation Criteria applicable to Round 33 by accessing the OPWC Website at http://www.pwc.state.oh.us/Meth.SG.PDF. Please complete the Small Government Evaluation Criteria and attach all required supporting documentation and attach it to the District 5 Questionnaire for Round 32.

11. MANDATORY INFORMATION, DISTRICT 5, DISCRETIONARY RANKING POINTS

List all specific user fees: Amount or ROAD & BRIDGE PROJECTS: (OHIO REVISED CODE) Percentage

Permissive license fee 4504.02 or 4504.06 4504.15 or 4504.17 4504.16 or 4504.171 4504.172 4504.18 V

Special property		
Municipal Incom	5555.49 e Tax	
County Sales Tax		
	JDE SCHOOL TAXES)	
SPECIFIC PROJ	ECT AREA INFORMATION.	
Median househol	d income	
Monthly utility ra	te: Water	
	Sewer	
	Other	
List any special u	ser fees or assessment (be specific)	
POLITICAL SUBDI	VISION=	
COUNTY=		
	POINTS (BY DISTRICT COMMITTEE ONLY)=	
(25-20-15)		
Date:	9-5-2019	
Signature:	Mala	
Title:	rustee	
Address:	659 west Millgrove Road, Risingsun, Olio	
Phone: 4	19-619-1082	
FAX:		
Email:	wonderly 36@ gmail. com	

District 5

Capital Improvement Project

Priority Rating Sheet, Round 34

	COUNTY:				_	_	_						Revised 04 PROJECT NUM		
	PROJECT:												PROJECT NUM	BER	_
	EST. COST	:													
0.	"A"	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED	159	1	"B"		V53	"A" x "B"			Priority	Factors			N
			130												1
	WEIGHT				ORI										ŀ
	TADION				,,,,,	10			0	2	4	8	8	10	t
1	1	(Repair or Replace) vs. (New or	0	2	4	6 8	10		0% +	20% +	40% +	60%+ Repair or	80%+ Repair or	100%+ Repair	Т
		Expansion)			П							Replacement	Replacement	or Replacement	
					П	ı	Х	11)	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	Repair or Replacement	1		V	l
					П	ı		10	rananoman	repasemen	Trebisceriigin.			\	l
					Ц	\perp									L
2	1.5	Existing Physical Condition:	0	2	4	8 8	10	_	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Critical	Closed or Not Operating	
		Must submit substantiating			اا			9						oparating	
		documentation and CIR (100% New						'							
3	2	or Expansion = 0 Points). Public Health and/or Public Safety	D	2	4	8 8	10		No Impact	Minimal	Moderate	Major	Critical	Extremely	╁
		Concerns			П	V			,					Critical	
		Submittals without supporting			Ш	٨	-	16							
		documentation will receive 0 points			П										
4	2	for this guestion Percentage of Local Share (Local	0	2	4	6 8	10		0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	T
		funds are funds derived from the applicant budget or a loan to be paid			П		v	1			ļ			1	ı
		back through the applicant budget,			Н		М	10						X	
		assessments, rates or tax revenues)			П									,	
5	1	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	0	2	4	6 8	10		0%+	10%+	20%+	30%+	40%+	50%+	T
					П										
		(Excluding Issue II Funds)			П		П								ı
		(Grants and other revenues not	X		П	1		Δ	\/						١
		contributed or collected through	r`		П										ı
		taxes by the applicant; including Gifts, Contributions, etc. – must			П				' '						ļ
		submit copy of award or status			П										
		letter.)		L	Ц	L									Ļ
No.	"A"	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED			"B"			"A"X"B"			Priority	Factors			ľ
	WEIGHT		30	PR	IORI	TY									1
	FACTOR				то		4		A STATE OF THE	Section 1					
_					_				-9 Grant or	-8	0	8	9	10	+
_									Loan Only						L
в	2	OPWC Grant and Loan Funding Requested; Please refer to Item 6 on	-9	-8	0	8 8	10		\$500,001	\$400,001 to	\$325,001	\$275,001	\$175,001	\$175,000	Τ
		Questionnaire for Clarification.			П				or more	\$500,000	\$400,000	\$325,000	\$275,000	or less	
_			-	-	Н	+	+	-	Grant/Loan	***************************************	\$400,000	\$325,000	4275,000	0, 1653	+
	2		-9	-8		1	1.0	ļ	Combination						╀
			.,	"	ľ	" "	10	l	\$750,000	\$600,001 to	\$487,501 to	\$412,501 to	\$262,501 to	\$262,500	
					П		X	20	or more	\$750,000	\$600,000	\$487,500	\$412,500	A hear	
_	1	When scoring a project that is only g	rant c	or only	y loa	n, P	lease	use the c						ect for the grant	٠
		in the first chart, then use the second													ı
No.	"A"	CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED			"B"		20	"A" x "B"			Priority	Factors			١,
			3					A SECTION AND A							
	WEIGHT			PR	IOR	TY			A STATE OF						1
	FACTOR		100	FA	сто	R8			0	2	1 4	T 6	8	1 10	+
7	74 003085097	Will the Proposed Project Creats	0	12	41	8 8	3 10	The second	0+ jobs	7+ jobs	15 + jobs	25 + jobs	50 + jobs	100 + jobs	+
	'	Permanent Jobs or retain jobs	Ī	-	П				1.1		10 . ,000	,	00.1000	100 - 1000	
		that would otherwise be permanently	ΙV		П			()	l X					1	
		lost (Written Documentation Required)	l^`	1	П	1	1								1
В	- 1	Benefits to Existing Users such as	0	2	4	8 8	B 10		0+	100+	350+	500+	750+	1000+	t
		households,		X	11	1		7	1	X					ı
		(Equivalent dwelling units), traffic Counts, etc.	1	ľ	11			2		_ ^					١
9		SUBTOTAL RANKING POINTS	T	1			_		Other Info:					1	
		(MAX. = 115)													
			1						Does this project	have a significan	t impact on produ	ctive farmland?			
			1					L_							
								1/ /	YES NO						
	1							′′	Attach impact sta	Iamani if van					
			İ							urn il yea					
									Is the Applicant re	ady to proceed t	o bids after State	Approval within 6	months?		
				- 1					YES NO		200000000000000000000000000000000000000				
10	1	COUNTY PRIORITY POINTS (25-20													
11	1	15) DISCRETIONARY POINTS (BY	+				_	+	1						
	_	DISTRICT ONLY) (MAX.=12) GRAND TOTAL RANKING POINTS	+						1						
		I OLONIA LO LAL KANKING POINTS	1					I .	1						
12								Į.						and the state of t	

^{*} Applicants must certify local share contribution. Specify, all funding sources to be utilized as local share at the time of application submittal.